

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,606	05/05/2005	Maria Cristina Geroni	17703 (PC27210A)	4724
7590 11/16/2010 Peter I Bernstein Scully Scott, Murphy & Presser 400 Garden City Plaza			EXAMINER	
			WEBB, WALTER E	
Suite 300	ty Piaza	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Garden City, N	NY 11530	1612		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/16/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	s)
	10/500,606	GERONI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	WALTER E. WEBB	1612	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 22 October 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDIT	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.
---	-------------------

- 1. \(\times \) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
 - a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of detension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(4).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

W The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 October 2010</u>. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of
the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the
appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDME	NTS

- The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
 - appeal; and/or
 - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112, 2nd.
- 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of
- 7. \(\) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) \(\) will not be entered, or b) \(\) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
 The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
 - Claim(s) allowed: _
 - Claim(s) objected to:
 - Claim(s) rejected: 1, 5-9, 13-15, 24-27, 31 and 32.
 - Claim(s) rejected: 1, 5-9, 13-15, 24-21, 31 and Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons wity it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. 🖾 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- 12. Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement*(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). ______13. Other:

/Frederick Krass/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612 /Walter E Webb/ Examiner, Art Unit 1612 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the claims are commensurate in scope with the data showing a syvergistic result. However, the instant claims continue to read very broadly, encompassing compounds of the general formula I in combination with either STIS71 or CSI-774 that were not tested. The instant method claims are also not limited to a specific type of cancer. Furthermore, it is not clear whether the in vitro data showing synergy at specific ratios for the combination of Brostallicin with either STIS71 or ZD1839 can be seen in vivo with these and other types of cancer. The data of Exhibit I do not provide an adequate basis for concluding that the great number of combinations recided in epeneic claims would behave in the same way, especially in regard to neoplastic disease states in general. In regard to the in vivo method claims, there is also ne recitation of concentration ranges or ratios of the combinations that are necessary to produce the same result of the in vivo data. It appears that the ratios for the combination of Brostallicin and either STIS71 or ZD1839 are important for the synergistic effect, since the ratios varied for each of the cell lines tested. Accordingly, the instant claims remain reliceded as being obvious over Cozzi in view of Cortes.